# The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepared	d By: The Prof	fessional Sta	aff of the Communit	y Affairs Comm	ittee	
BILL:	CS/SB 2074						
INTRODUCER:	Committee on Agriculture and Senator Peaden						
SUBJECT:	Agriculture						
DATE:	March 12, 20	010 F	REVISED:				
ANALYST		STAFF DIRECTOR		REFERENCE		ACTION	
. Akhavein		Poole		AG	Fav/CS		
. Wolfgang		Yeatman		CA	<b>Favorable</b>		
•				BI			
•				FT			
				GA			
	Please	see Secti	ion VIII.	for Addition	al Informa	ition:	
<u> </u>	COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE X Statement of Substantial Changes						
				Technical amendments were recommended			
				Amendments were			
				Significant amend			

## I. Summary:

This Committee Substitute addresses the following issues related to agriculture:

- Prohibits counties from enforcing any regulations on land classified as agricultural if the activity is regulated by best management practices, interim measures, or regulations.
- Prohibits counties from imposing an assessment or fee for stormwater management on land classified as agricultural if the operation has a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit, an environmental resource permit, a works-of-the-district permit, or implements best management practices.
- Allows a county to enforce its wetland protection acts adopted before July 1, 2003.
- Creates the Agricultural Land Acknowledgement Act to ensure that agricultural practices will not be subject to interference by residential use of land contiguous to agricultural land.
- Requires an applicant for certain development permits to sign and submit an
  acknowledgement of certain contiguous agricultural lands as a condition of the political
  subdivision issuing the permits.
- Expands eligibility for exemption from a local business tax receipt for persons who sell farm, aquacultural, grove, horticultural, floricultural, or tropical fish farm products, or products manufactured therefrom.

• Revises the term "farm tractor" to clarify that a farm tractor may be an actual tractor, all terrain vehicle, or other utility vehicle.

- Reverses legislation enacted in 2005 to return tropical foliage to exempt status from the provisions of the License and Bond law.
- Exempts farm fences from the Florida Building Code and farm fences and nonresidential farm buildings from county or municipal codes and fees.
- Allows additional fiscally sound multi-peril crop insurers to sell crop insurance in Florida.
- Makes s. 823.145, FS., consistent with s. 403.707, F.S., relating to the disposal of certain materials used in agricultural operations.

This committee substitute amends sections 163.3162, 205.064, 322.01, 604.15, 604.50, 624.4095 and 823.145 of the Florida Statutes.

This committee substitute creates section 163.3163 of the Florida Statutes.

## II. Present Situation:

## **Agricultural Lands and Practices Act**

In 2003, the Legislature passed the Agricultural Lands and Practices Act, codified in s. 163.3162, F.S., to prohibit counties from adopting any duplicative ordinance, resolution, regulation, rule, or policy that limits activity of a bona fide farm or farm operation on agricultural land if such activity is regulated through best management practices (BMPs), interim measures, or by an existing state, regional, or federal regulatory program. Prior to the enactment of this legislation, some counties enacted measures to regulate various agricultural operations in the state which were duplicative and more restrictive than those already dictated through BMPs or an existing governmental regulatory program. While the Agricultural Land and Practices Act banned the adoption of future local government restrictive measures, it did not explicitly prohibit the enforcement of existing local government measures.

## **Stormwater Utility Fees**

A number of counties have adopted stormwater utility fees to provide a funding source for stormwater management and water quality programs, and have imposed these fees on agricultural lands even though the land owner has a permitted stormwater management system or has implemented BMPs. The revenue generated directly supports maintenance and upgrade of existing storm drain systems, development of drainage plans, flood control measures, water-quality programs, administrative costs, and sometimes construction of major capital improvements. Unlike a stormwater program that draws on the general tax fund or uses property taxes for revenue, the people who benefit from stormwater utility fees are the only ones who pay. This creates a duplicative financial burden for the agricultural operation that is already paying to manage its own permitted stormwater management system, yet has to pay again for a county program.

## **Right to Farm Act**

Section 823.14, F.S., also known as the Florida Right to Farm Act (RTFA), has been law since 1979. In the RTFA, the Legislature recognized the importance of agricultural production to Florida's economy and the importance of the preservation of agriculture. The Legislature found that agricultural activities in urban areas are potential grounds for lawsuits based on the theory of

nuisance. The purpose of the RTFA was to protect reasonable agricultural activities on farm land from nuisance suits. Generally, no farm in operation for a year or more since its established date of operation, which was not a nuisance at the established date of operation, can be a public or private nuisance if the farm operations conform to generally accepted agricultural and management practices. If an existing farm's operations expand to a more excessive operation with regard to noise, odor, dust, or fumes, it can be considered a nuisance if it is adjacent to an established homestead or business as of March 15, 1982. Growers and farmers report that the RTFA has not stopped neighbors and local governments from leveling complaints and making attempts to obstruct agriculture operations. There is further conflict in some instances when there is a lack of record as to whether the farming operation or the urban area was in existence first. This bill addresses that issue.

## **Occupational License Tax**

Section 205.022, F.S., defines "person" to mean any individual, firm, partnership, joint adventure, syndicate, or other group or combination acting as a unit, association, corporation, estate, trust, business trust, trustee, executor, administrator, receiver, or other fiduciary, and includes the plural as well as the singular. Section 205.064, F.S., provides an exemption from local business taxes to "natural persons" engaged in the selling of certain agricultural products. Currently, cities and one county are interpreting the term "natural person" to exclude corporations, partnerships and other non-natural persons for exemption purposes.

## **Dealers in Agricultural Products**

The Agricultural License and Bond Law, ss. 604.15-604.34, F.S., gives market protection to producers of perishable agricultural commodities. The law is intended to facilitate the marketing of Florida agricultural products by encouraging a better understanding between buyers and sellers and by providing a marketplace that is relatively free of unfair trading practices and defaults. In the 2005 Legislative Session, the definition of the term "agricultural products" was amended to include tropical foliage as a non-exempt agricultural product produced in the state. Until that point, tropical foliage had been exempt from the provisions of the law. For the most part, agricultural products considered exempt from the law are generally those offered by the growers or groups of growers selling their own products; all persons who buy for cash and pay at the time of purchase with U.S. currency; dealers operating as bonded licensees under the Federal Packers and Stockyards Act; or retail operations purchasing less than \$1,000 in product per month from Florida producers. Due to the manner by which the foliage business is conducted, the change has not been proven beneficial to the foliage industry and it has requested a reenactment of the exemption.

## **Nonresidential Farm Buildings**

Sections 553.73 and 604.50, F.S., exempt nonresidential farm buildings located on a farm from the Florida Building Code and any county or municipal building code, making building permits unnecessary for such buildings. In 1974, the Legislature established statewide standards known as the State Minimum Building Codes, and in 1998, the Legislature created a statewide unified building code. Nonresidential farm buildings have been exempt from building codes since 1998. In 2001, Attorney General Robert Butterworth opined:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Fla. Att'y Gen. Opinion 2001-71, 2001 WL 1194681 (Fla. A.G. 2001).

The plain language of sections 553.73(7)(c)<sup>2</sup> and 604.50, Florida Statutes, exempts all nonresidential buildings located on a farm from state and local building codes. Thus, to the extent that the State Minimum Building Codes require an individual to obtain a permit for the construction, alteration, repair, or demolition of a building or structure, no such permits are required for nonresidential buildings located on a farm.<sup>3</sup>

Despite the Attorney General Opinion, there have been instances of some counties and municipalities assessing impact fees and requiring permits for nonresidential buildings, even though the buildings are exempt from building codes and are not inspected.

## **Crop Insurance**

Crop insurance is purchased by agricultural producers, to protect themselves against either the loss of their crops due to natural disasters or the loss of revenue due to declines in the prices of agricultural commodities. In the United States, a subsidized multi-peril federal insurance program, administered by the Risk Management Agency, is available to most farmers. The program is authorized by the Federal Crop Insurance Act (title V of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, P.L. 75-430). Multi-peril crop insurance covers the broad perils of drought, flood, insects, disease, etc., which may affect many insureds at the same time and present the insurer with excessive losses. To make this class of insurance, the perils are often bundled together in a single policy, called a multi-peril crop insurance (MPCI) policy. MPCI coverage is usually offered by a government insurer and premiums are usually partially subsidized by the government. The earliest MPCI program was first implemented in 1938 by the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation (FCIC), an agency of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. The FCIC authorizes reinsurers. Certain crop insurers are interested in doing business in Florida, but are currently unable to write insurance because of current statutory constructs. The changes in this bill will allow individuals who grow agricultural products to have increased insurance options offered by fiscally sound insurers.

## **Disposal of Agricultural Waste**

Polyethylene plastic has long been used in numerous forms by the agricultural industry. Polyethylene mulch plastic is commonly disposed of by burning. Chapters 823 and 403, F.S., both regulate open burning of materials used in agricultural production. The Department of Environmental Protection does not require a permit for burning certain solid wastes if the activity does not create a public nuisance or any condition adversely affecting the environment or public health and does not violate other state or local laws, ordinances, rules, regulations or orders. Section 403.707(2)(e), F.S., provides an exemption for disposal of solid waste resulting from normal farm operations, including polyethylene agricultural plastic, damaged, nonsalvageable, untreated wood pallets, and packing material that cannot be feasibly recycled. Section 823.145, F.S., under the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, differs in that it only lists mulch plastic as approved for open burning. The amendments to s. 823.145, F.S., will reflect the language in s. 403.707, F.S., which is the most recent intent of the Legislature.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The cited statute has since changed to s. 553.73(9)(c), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Fla. Att'y Gen. Opinion 2001-71.

## III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

**Section 1** amends s. 163.3162, F.S., to prohibit a county to enforce any regulations on agricultural land if the activity is regulated by Best Management Practices, interim measures or regulations adopted as rules under chapter 120, F.S., by the Department of Environmental Protection, the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, or a water management district as part of a statewide or regional program; or if the activity is regulated by the United States Department of Agriculture, the United States Army Corps of Engineers, or the United States Environmental Protection Agency.

This section prohibits a county government to charge an assessment or fee for stormwater management on a farm operation on agricultural land, if the farm operation has a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit, environmental resource permit, or works-of-the-district permit or implements best management practices adopted as rules under chapter 120 by the Department of Environmental Protection, the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, or a water management district as part of a statewide or regional program.

Under specified circumstances, this section allows a county to charge an assessment if credits against the assessment are provided for implementation of:

- Best management practices;
- Stormwater quality and quantity measures required as part of a National Pollutant
  Discharge Elimination System permit, environmental resource permit or works-of-thedistrict permit; or
- Best management practices or alternative measures which the landowner demonstrates to the county to be of equivalent or greater stormwater benefit than those provided by implementation of best management practices.

The powers of a county to enforce applicable wetlands, springs protection, or stormwater ordinances, regulations, or rules adopted before July 1, 2003, are not limited by the provisions of the bill. It does not limit a county's powers to enforce wetlands, springs protection or stormwater ordinances, regulations, or rules pertaining to the Wekiva River Protection Area. In addition, it does not limit the powers of a county to enforce ordinances, regulations, or rules as directed by law or implemented consistent with the requirements of a program operated under a delegation agreement from a state agency or water management district. The provisions of this committee substitute do not apply to a municipal services benefit unit established before March 1, 2009, predominantly for flood control or water supply benefits.

**Section 2** creates s. 163.3163, F.S., to create the Agricultural Land Acknowledgement Act to ensure that generally accepted agricultural practices will not be subject to interference by residential use of land contiguous to sustainable agricultural land. This section defines the terms "contiguous," "farm operation," and "sustainable agricultural land." It requires that before a political subdivision issues a local land use permit for nonagricultural land contiguous to agricultural land, that as a condition of issuing the permit, the permit applicant must sign and submit to the political subdivision, in a format that is recordable, a written Acknowledgement of Contiguous Agricultural Land. The acknowledgement must be filed and recorded in the official records of the county in which the political subdivision is located. It also authorizes the

Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, in cooperation with the Department of Revenue, to adopt rules to administer this section.

**Section 3** amends s. 205.064, F.S., to exempt farms that are incorporated from being required to obtain a local occupational license to farm and sell their own agricultural products.

**Section 4** amends s. 322.01, F.S., to revise the term "farm tractor" for purposes of drivers' licenses. It clarifies that a farm tractor may be an actual tractor, all terrain vehicle or other utility vehicle.

**Section 5** amends s. 604.15, F.S., to revise the definition of "agricultural products" to make tropical foliage exempt from regulation under provisions relating to dealers in agricultural products such as license and bond laws.

**Section 6** amends s. 604.50, F.S., to exempt farm fences from the Florida Building Code and farm fences and nonresidential farm buildings and fences from county or municipal codes and fees, except floodplain management regulations. It provides that a nonresidential farm building may include, but not be limited to, a barn, greenhouse, shade house, farm office, storage building, or poultry house.

**Section 7** amends s. 624.4095, F.S., to allow additional fiscally sound multi-peril crop insurers to meet the statutorily required capital and surplus requirements for admission into the state and allows the Office of Insurance Regulation latitude in considering financial accounting matters for crop insurers. It provides that gross written premiums for certain crop insurance not be included when calculating the insurer's gross writing ratio. It requires that liabilities for ceded reinsurance premiums be netted against the assets for amounts recoverable from reinsurers, and requires that insurers who write other insurance products must disclose a breakout of the gross written premiums for crop insurance.

**Section 8** amends s. 823.145, F.S., to remove inconsistent statutory language relating to the materials used in agricultural operations that may be disposed of by open burning. The changes in this section would make s. 823.145, F.S., consistent with s. 403.707, F.S., which is under the Department of Environmental Protection.

## IV. Constitutional Issues:

## A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

This bill reduces the ability of counties and municipalities to collect stormwater fees and local business taxes. This bill falls under subsection (b) of section 18 of Article VII, Florida Constitution. Subsection (b) requires a two-thirds vote of the membership of each house of the Legislature in order to enact a general law reducing the authority that municipalities and counties had on February 1, 1989, to raise revenues in the aggregate.

Subsection (d) of section 18 of Article VII, Florida Constitution provides an exemption if the law is determined to have an insignificant fiscal impact. An insignificant fiscal impact means an amount not greater than the average statewide population for the applicable

fiscal year times ten cents (FY 2009-2010 \$1.88 million). A fiscal estimate is currently not available.

If it is determined that this bill has more than an insignificant fiscal impact, the bill would require a two-thirds vote of the membership of each house of the Legislature.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

## V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

This committee substitute would remove tropical foliage from the definition of agriculture products and eliminate the requirements that those who sell tropical foliage are required to be licensed. This will be a cost savings to the dealers. Florida tropical foliage producers will see an increase in financial risk as a result of the exemption.

C. Government Sector Impact:

	Fiscal Year 2010-11	Fiscal Year 2011-12
REVENUES		
Recurring:	(\$22,800)	(22,800)
Marketing-Tropical Foliage		
Estimate based on FY 08/09 licenses		
issued		

#### **Local Governments**

The committee substitute would limit the ability of local governments to collect stormwater fees and local business taxes. This fee limitation will differ from county to county.

## VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

## VII. Related Issues:

None.

## VIII. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

## CS by the Agriculture Committee on March 9, 2010:

Committee Substitute for Senate Bill 2074 is different from Senate Bill 2074 in that it:

- Re-formats Section 1, but makes no substantive changes.
- Changes a date on line 150 of the committee substitute. A county's powers would not be limited to enforce wetlands, springs protection, or stormwater ordinances, regulations, or rules adopted before July 1, 2003, rather than January 15, 2009.
- Re-formats Section 6 and adds examples of structures that the term "nonresidential farm building" would include.

## B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.